UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,840	07/01/2005	Hendrikus Markus Veltman	262469US6PCT	7212	
	7590 09/30/201 AK, MCCLELLAND l	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ROBERTS, JESSICA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2621			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/30/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/519,8	9,840 VELTMAN ET AL.					
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		JESSICA	A ROBERTS	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provision: X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply bly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIOn Event, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Expirication to become ABANDONICATION TO THE COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) file his action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition losed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pr		merits is			
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4: 5)□ (6)図 (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the aa) Of the above claim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict the control of th	are withdrawn from o						
10) T	he specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected to drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the specific state.	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CF	• •			
	•	- s, a.e -		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/21/2010 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

Claims 5,6,8,9 and 13 are currently pending. Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11 and 12 have been cancelled.

Acknowledgment of Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on 04/21/2010 overcomes the following objection(s)/rejection(s):

The double patenting objection to claims 5 and 13 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 5-6, 8-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeru et al. JP-8-149464 in view of Masuo et al., JP-9-9258 and further in view of Kato et al., US-6,795,498.

As to **claim 5**, Shigeru teaches A decoding apparatus for executing a decoding process on a plurality of encoded information encoded with an encoding system capable of at least B-pictures for inter-prediction-encoding, said decoding apparatus comprising: storage means for temporarily storing restored image information sequentially created by the decoding process ([0007],fig. 4 elements 60, 72-74, and fig. 1 elements 60, 72-74) and for temporarily storing the encoded information (fig. 4 element 71); and output control means for controlling output of the restored image information stored in said storage means (Shigeru teaches where the fame memory

Art Unit: 2621

reading-and-writing control section 64 controls a reproduced image output [0022] and fig. 4 element 64), wherein said output control means ([0022] and fig. 4 element 64), when restored image information fails (figs. 4,1 elements 60) to be stored in said storage means (figs. 4, 1 elements 72-74) re-outputs restored image information outputted just before the failure ([0023]). Shigeru is silent in regards to ignores a decoding start time set for a first encoded information of a plurality of encoded information stored in said storage means, to start decoding prior to the decoding start time of the first encoded information, the encoded information including a picture encoding type and said output control means, when a storing order of encoded information being stored in said storage means is different from an order before the encoding, re-outputs restored image information corresponding to the encoded information having a different order.

However, Masuo teaches to the encoded information including a picture encoding type ([0021] and [0022]), ignores a decoding start time set for a first encoded information of a plurality of encoded information ([0025], [0030] stored in said storage means (the main memory 6 is used for buffering of the encoded video data which is needed in this decoding process, or storing of reference video signal data [0027] and [0015]), to start decoding prior to the decoding start time of the first encoded information (A decoding start control means reads encoded video data from a buffering means based on information, including said decoding start time, supplies it o a decoding means, and makes decoding start in the first decoding Mohd. Standard decoding Mohd who performs the same decoding as having the numerals side by this is realized. A

Art Unit: 2621

decoding start control means reads encoded video data from a buffering means based on the monitored result of a buffering monitoring means, supplies it to a decoding means, and makes decoding start in the second decoding Mohd. This Mohd is special decoding Mohd who can make it decode without using information, including decoding start time etc. [0016-0017]. Since Masuo discloses a decoding start control means reads encoded video data from a buffering means based on information, including said decoding start time, supplies it o a decoding means, and makes decoding start in the first decoding Mohd. Standard decoding Mohd who performs the same decoding as having the numerals side by this is realized. A decoding start control means reads encoded video data from a buffering means based on the monitored result of a buffering monitoring means, supplies it to a decoding means, and makes decoding start in the second decoding Mohd. This Mohd is special decoding Mohd who can make it decode without using information, including decoding start time etc, it is clear to the Examiner that Masuo discloses a control means that decodes a first encoded video data and second video data, where the second decoded video data is not decoded using the start time of the first decoded data which reads upon the claimed limitation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Masuo with Shigeru for providing improved image quality.

Shigeru (modified by Masuo) is silent in regards to when an order of encoded information is different from an order before the encoding, outputs restored image information corresponding to the encoded information having a different order.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,840

Art Unit: 2621

However, Kato teaches when an order of encoded information is different from an order before the encoding, outputs restored image information corresponding to the encoded information having a different order (Kato discloses where the control section 35 changes the frame-image sequence supplied from the motion estimation section 34 and consisting of frames arranged in the order they should be displayed, thus generating a frame-image sequence consisting of frames arranged in the order they will be encoded. The frame-image sequence thus generated is output to an encoding section 38, col. 12 line 36-42, and fig. 3, 4-6. Figures 3, 4-6 clearly disclose that the order of the input pictures to the encoder (order before encoding) are different from the

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Kato with Shigeru for providing

order of the output bit-stream from the encoder and the encoder outputs the encoded

it is disclosed that the input bitstream is different that the encoded bitstream, and the

encoded bitstream is outputted incorporated with the decoder that included a storage

means for storing encoded information and re-outputs restored information now

discloses the all features of claim 5.

bitstream, which reads upon the claimed limitation. Taking the teachings of Kato, where

As to **claim 6**, Shigeru (modified by Masuo and Kato) as a whole teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 5. In addition, Shigeru teaches a control means ([0022]). Shigeru is silent in regards to the decoding apparatus according to claim 5, wherein: when a failure occurs, <u>said output control means</u> offsets a lag from the

decoding start time occurred due to ignoring the decoding start time, by re-outputting restored image information outputted just before the failure.

However, Masuo teaches when a failure occurs; offsets a lag from the decoding start time occurred due to ignoring the decoding start time, by re-outputting restored image information outputted just before the failure ([0038]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Masuo with Shigeru for providing improved image quality.

As to **claim 8**, see the rejection and analysis made in **claim 5**, except this is a method claim to the apparatus of **claim 5**. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim also applies. The Examiner notes since Kato discloses the control section changes the frame image sequence supplied from the motion estimation section and consisting of frames arranged in the order they should be displayed, thus generating a frame-image sequence consisting of frame arranged in the order they will be encoded, it is clear to the examiner that the control section determines (judges) that the order for the encoded frames (encoded information) is different from the frame sequence supplied from the motion estimation section, which reads upon the claimed limitation.

As to **claim 9**, see there rejection and analysis for claim 6, except this is claim to a method with the same limitation as the apparatus of claim 6. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim 6 also applies here.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,840 Page 8

Art Unit: 2621

As to **claim 13**, claim 13 see the rejection and analysis made for claim 5, except this is method claim to the apparatus of claim 5. Thus, rejection and analysis made for claim 5 also applies here.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- 5. Okada et al., US-7,706,445.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JESSICA ROBERTS whose telephone number is (571)270-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST Monday-Friday, Alt Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,840 Page 9

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Jessica Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621